UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,087	01/22/2004	Alexander G. MacInnis	17403US05	6408
	7590 09/15/201 S HELD & MALLOY,	EXAMINER		
500 WEST MA	DISON STREET	HASSAN, AURANGZEB		
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL	60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2182	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/15/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summany		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
		10	0/763,087	MACINNIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			aminer	Art Unit				
		AL	JRANGZEB HASSAN	2182				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	s on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum state or to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be to ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>02 July 2</i>	010.					
•			ion is non-final.					
′=	· <del></del>							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>4,5,7-10 and 12</u> is/are pend	ding in the app	lication.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,5,7-10 and 12</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or ele	ection requirement.					
	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by th	o Evaminor						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		d or h) Objected to by the	Evaminer				
10/	Applicant may not request that any obje							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				FR 1 121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to			-	, ,			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			o , tolion or tolin .				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign pric	wity under 35 LLS C & 110/a	a) (d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ioi ioreigii piic	inty under 55 0.5.0. § 119(8	a)-(a) or (i).				
a)	_ '_ '_	documents ha	ve been received					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	22 The attached dotained Office delice	101 4 1101 01 11	Jordina dopido not rodeiv	<u> </u>				
Attachmen	t(e)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application				
. apc			-,					

Application/Control Number: 10/763,087 Page 2

Art Unit: 2182

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 4, 5, 7 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ben-Yoseph. et al. (US Patent Number 5,949,439, hereinafter "Ben") in view of Robinett et al. (US Patent Number 6,351,474, hereinafter "Robinett") further in view of Ottinger (US Patent Number 6,070,231).
- 3. As per claim 4, Ben teaches a unified memory system comprising: a memory (buffer memory, figure 2) that is shared by a plurality of devices including at least a central processing unit (host processor 102, figure 1) and a graphics processing unit (multimedia processor 106, figure 1); and a memory request arbiter coupled to the memory (resource manager 308, figure 3), wherein the memory request arbiter performs real time scheduling of memory requests from different devices having different priorities (column 7, lines 10-21), the unified memory system provides for real time scheduling of tasks (308 dictates control of 310 allowing for real time scheduling column 7, lines 17-20), and provides access to memory by requesters that are sensitive to latency and do not have determinable periodic behavior (column 8, lines 22

- 36), and a memory select circuit receiving requests from the central processing unit and graphics processing unit (resource manager, column 3, lines 5 - 15).

Ben does not explicitly disclose a predetermined delay between subsequent accesses.

Robinett teaches a unified memory system wherein a predetermined minimum interval between subsequent accesses by a device is enforced, and wherein said predetermined minimum interval is long enough for another device to access (predetermined delay is enforced to allow for sufficient adjustment between subsequent scheduled accesses, column 7, lines 50 – 67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify Ben with the above teachings of Robinett. One of ordinary skill would be motivated to make such modification in order to adjust scheduling of a multi-request handling system, column 7, line 63 to column 8, line 7).

Ben teaches a unified memory system comprising dual memory controllers, the dual memory controllers including a first memory controller (154, figure 1) and a second memory controller (156, figure 1) coupled to a memory arbiter performing real time scheduling (308, figure 3).

Ben/Robinett does not explicitly disclose a first and second memory controller coupled to a first and second arbiter.

Ottinger teaches a memory system comprising dual memory controllers (figure 1), the dual memory controllers including a first memory controller (24a, figure 1) and a second memory controller (24b, figure 1), the memory request arbiter including a first

Application/Control Number: 10/763,087

arbiter (59A, figure 2) coupled to the first memory controller and a second arbiter (59B, figure 2) coupled to the second memory controller, wherein the first arbiter and the second arbiter perform real time scheduling of memory requests (column 18, lines 34 – 38), wherein memory requests to the memory shared by the plurality of devices are routed to a particular one of the first arbiter and the second arbiter based on the address of the memory request (arbiter utilizes address of memory request, figure 2), and selecting one of the dual memory controllers and on of the first arbiter or second arbiter, and providing the request to the selected one of the dual memory controllers and the selected one of the first or second arbiter (figure 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to utilize the dual memory controller/interface of Ottinger in the above mentioned teachings of Ben/Robinett. One of ordinary skill would be motivated to make such modification in order to increase the performance of the system by reducing the average latency of memory requests (column 2, lines 10 - 25).

4. Ben modified by the teachings of Robinett/Ottinger as seen in claim 4 above, as per claim 5, Ben teaches a unified memory system wherein the central processing unit and the graphics processing unit are sensitive to latency and do not have determinable periodic behavior (processing does not have periodic behavior, and aims to reduce latency in processing thereby disclosing latency, column 4, lines 47 – 49).

Application/Control Number: 10/763,087 Page 5

Art Unit: 2182

5. Ben modified by the teachings of Robinett/Ottinger as seen in claim 4 above, as per claim 7, Robinett teaches a unified memory system further comprising a circuit component associated with one or more devices and coupled between the associated devices and the memory request arbiter, wherein the circuit component is used to enforce at least a predetermined minimum interval between subsequent accesses by the associated device to the memory (processor circuit introduces predetermined delay between subsequent accesses, column 7, line 50 to column 8, line 7, Ben: teaches access to the memory).

- 6. Ben modified by the teachings of Robinett/Ottinger as seen in claim 4 above, as per claim 8, Robinett teaches a unified memory system wherein the devices associated with the circuit component include a CPU (Robinette: processor 21, figure 1; Ben: process controller 202, figure 2).
- 7. Ben modified by the teachings of Robinett/Ottinger as seen in claim 4 above, as per claim 9, Robinett teaches a unified memory system wherein the devices associated with the circuit component make high priority service requests to access the memory through the circuit component (column 40, line 58 column 41, line 34, circuit processor utilized to make high priority service requests. Ben: teaches access to the memory).
- 8. Ben modified by the teachings of Robinett/Ottinger as seen in claim 4 above, as per claims 10 and 12, Robinett teaches a unified memory system further comprising a

round robin server for handling low priority tasks (column 28, lines 20 – 23, as per claim 12, handles only low priority based upon data utilized).

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4, 5, 7 – 10 and 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues newly amended limitations for which the Examiner has provided a new citation from Ben and Ottinger for specific teachings required by the arbiter.

## Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,087 Page 7

Art Unit: 2182

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AURANGZEB HASSAN whose telephone number is (571)272-8625. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on 571-272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AΗ

/Ilwoo Park/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2182 9/13/2010